It is necessary for people to travel to other places to learn about the culture. We can learn from as much as from books, films, and the internet. To what extent do you agree or disagree?

Travelling has become a prevalent lifestyle for several decades. Many travellers treated it as a good way to experience and learn about the culture. However, some people suppose that travelling not only wastes money but also time. Instead, books, films and the internet are better choices for citizens. From my own perspective, both of them are crucial methods to learn about culture.

Travelling helps people contact with different individuals, foods and customs from other cultures. Then people can experience these cultures directly and understand them truly. Many books and films, for instance, show that the USA is one of the most wealthy countries, where every people lives in spacious house, drives luxury cars and has countless of money. In contrast, when travlling to America, visitors easily find that there are many individuals live at the bottom of society, and even homeless man in parks. It proves that people’s own experience is relatively more close to the truth.

Books, films and the internet also have their advantages and compensates travel experience. Thanks to the development of the internet, people from worldwide are connected together. Smart phones, for example, through which we people connect to the internet and find any information we need with almost no cost. Citizens who cannot afford the tours expense also have opportunities to know more knowledge and other cultures. Unfortunately, rumors have become a cancer of the internet culture and have posed a negative impact on individuals such as the old with poor ability to distinguish right from wrong .

In summarize, travelling, books, films and the internet are important ways of learning about other cultures. Travels bring direct feelings and experience, and other ways provide people conveniently not only more chances but also more choices in a shorter time.

Two examples to let you know how to compose a complete sentence:

* Smart phone, for example, through which we people can connect the internet and find any information they need with almost no cost. There are no predicates! It should be like this: Smart phones, for example, through which …, provide/give/make ….
* Citizens, such as the low income class, who cannot afford the tours expense also having opportunities to know more knowledge and other cultures. There are no predicates again. Your main clause and sub clause both should have predicates. Change having to have, making a whole sentence.

Do not use too many “can” in your arguments. “can” means a low probability. In fact, you can say anything using “can”, for example, humans can fly. “can” does not mean “be able to”. It can rain today = It might rain today. Next time, when you want to use “can”, replace it as “might” then decide use it or not. Besides, in argumentation, use verbs directly to give you ideas, and try not to use modal verbs because it sounds like providing suggestions.

Travels can bring direct feelings and experience, while other ways provide people conveniently not only more chance but also more choice in a shorter time. 都不想说你的单复数了，读不出来么。

In the first paragraph, please use more different phrasal verbs or terms to paraphrase the words which have appeared in requirements. For example, you need to find more ways to express “to learn about culture”, showing a wide lexical accumulation. If you had no such big vocabularies, at least you should change the form, such as from “learn about the culture” to “the cultural learning”.

下面，我重点说说你的逻辑。 第三段的主题句是Books, films and the internet also have their advantages and compensate travel experience. 那你就分两个阶段论述，先说好处（便宜）。 然后你说完smart phones便宜，citizens可以用，这里都没有问题。**但是**，一个unfortunately后面又说网络的不好的地方。这里我很疑惑，你想说什么啊。读书，上网方式的缺点不是第二段说了么，就是失真啊。 这里加一个缺点，又跟你的第三段的主题句没关系。而且论述的又不是入木三分，感觉加进来没头没脑的。

我觉得你第二段第三段，把两种方式的优缺点搅合在一起都说，这样真的给人一种很模糊的感觉 -- **第二段，旅游直接，真实，书很假。第三段，书便宜，网络谣言。**你这样组织吧，第二段说旅游真实，直接， 书的缺点， 这里不提。第三段一上来先让步，although旅游好，但是很贵，不是人人负担的起。接下来书网络这种方式便宜，易得(easy access)优点，进而而且弥补了旅游昂贵的缺点。之所以这样组织，因为你第一段给的观点就是两种方式都好。

总结： 你的论述其实就是说了两方面都有缺点，搅合在一起把人看的晕乎乎的。既然主题思想是两种都好。第二段就说旅游的好。第三段，小让步，引出你觉得书也好，而且补充旅游的不足。完事。这篇逻辑问题大，必须重新写。